I he Insertion of Sulfur and Selenium into the 1,2=Dihydrophosphete Ring

Ngoc Hoa Tran Huy and François Mathey*

Laboratoire de Chimie du Phosphore et des Mdtaux de Transition, DCPH, Ecole Polytechnique, 91 I28 Palaiseau Cedex, France

Received 24 February 1989.

ABSTRACT

Sulfur and selenium react with a 1,2-dihydrophos*phete P-W(CO)₅ complex at ca. 120°C to give the corresponding 2,5-dihydro-1,2-thiaphosphole and 2,5dihydro-l,2-~elenaphosphole complexes, respectively. These products result from an insertion of S or Se into the P-C* sp3 *bond of the four-membered ring.*

The controlled oxidative cleavage of P-C bonds is well exemplified when these bonds are incorporated into strained rings. For example, Kashman [l] has demonstrated that it is possible to insert oxygen into one of the $P-C$ bridge bonds of phosphole oxide $[4 + 2]$ dimers (Eq. 1) and Quin [2] has developed the chemistry of the resulting insertion products.

However, similar insertions involving sulfur and selenium are not as well known. We wish to describe a clearcut example of such an insertion into the very strained 1,2-dihydrophosphete ring.

On the basis of a X-ray crystal structure analysis, showing a very long $\overline{P} - C$ *sp*³ intracyclic bond $[1.902 \ (5) \ \text{\AA}]$ $[\overline{3}]$ and of various $[4 + 2]$ cycloadditions with $C=C$, $C=O$, and $C\equiv C$ multiple bonds [4], we recently demonstrated that a complex such as **1** is in equilibrium with its open-chain isomer *2* above 100°C (Eq. 2):

As a logical extension of these preliminary findings, we decided to carry out a systematic study of the various possible insertion reactions of the 1,2 dihydrophosphete ring. In *so* doing, we discovered that both sulfur and selenium were able to insert into the 1,2-dihydrophosphete complex **1** at ca. 120–130°C without alteration of the $\overline{P\text{-}W(CO)}$ ₅ complexing group (Eq. 3).

^{*} **To whom correspondence should be addressed.**

The main spectral data corresponding to the isomeric mixtures of **1, 3,** and **4** are collected in Table 1. The most significant results concern the ring *sp3* carbon. The *'J* (P-CHPh) coupling constant in **1** is ca. 30-32 Hz, whereas the **J* (P-X-CHPh) coupling constants in **3** and **4** fall to 0 Hz. Very low values have been recorded in the literature for the *2J (P-O-*C) couplings in two 1,2-0xaphosphol-3-ene P-oxides (0 and 3.7 Hz) [5]. Thus, the absence of $(P...CHPh)$ couplings in **3** and **4** convincingly demonstrates the insertion of sulfur and selenium into the P—CHPh bond of **1.** Another significant observation is the increase of the \mathcal{Y} (P...CH) coupling between phosphorus and the unsubstituted *sp2* ring carbon from

4.5-7.0 Hz in **1** to 13.9-18.2 Hz in **3** and **4.** The corresponding values in the two 1,2-0xaphosphol-3-ene P-oxides already mentioned are 18.4 and 19.1 Hz *[5].*

From a mechanistic standpoint, these two insertions can be viewed as $[1 + 4]$ cycloadditions between sulfur and selenium on one side and the phosphadienic isomer **2** on the other side. As far as we know, no such cycloaddition has been reported in the literature until now. Neilson [6] has described the reaction of sulfur with a stable phosphadiene. An initial $[1 + 2]$ cycloadduct, which undergoes ring closure upon partial desulfurization, is obtained:

where $R = SIMe₃$.

EXPERIMENTAL

All reactions were performed under argon. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on multinuclear WP 80 SY and AC 200 SY Bruker spectrometers operating at 80.13 and 200.13 (^1H) , 20.15 and 50.32 (13 C), and 32.44 (31 P) MHz. Chemical shifts are in parts per million downfield from internal TMS (${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$) and external 85% H₃PO₄ (${}^{31}P$), and coupling constants are in Hertz. Mass spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu GC-MS QP 1000 instrument at 70 eV under electronic impact. Elemental analyses were performed by the Service Central de Microanalyse du CNRS, France. All the new products gave correct C,H elemental analyses. Silica gel (70-230 mesh) was used for the chromatographic separations. All commercially available reagents were used as received from the suppliers.

(3-Ethoxy-2,5-diphenyl-2,5-dihydvo-l,2 thiaphospho1e)pentacavbonyltungsten **3**

Complex 1 (0.3 g, 5×10^{-4} mol) and sulfur (0.04 g, 1.25×10^{-3} mol) were heated for 4 h at 130°C in 10 mL of xylene. After evaporation, the crude residue was chromatographed with pentane-CH₂Cl₂ 4:1. Yield of **3,** 150 mg (47%). Results by 'H NMR (CDCl₃), δ 1.35 (t, 3H, Me), 3.90 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 5.25-5.5 (m, 2H, CHPh $+ =$ CH), 6.8-7.7 (m, 10H, Ph); by IR (CH₂Cl₂), γ (CO) 2070, 1942 cm⁻¹; by MS (184W), *mlz* 624 (M+, 13%), 484 (M-5C0, 100%).

(3-Ethoxy-2,5-diphenyl-2,5-dihydro-l,2 selenaphospho1e)pentacavbonyltungsten **4**

Complex 1 (0.3 g, 5×10^{-4} mol) and a large excess of selenium were heated 2 h at 120°C in 10 mL of

Compound		3	4
$CHPh \delta$	$46.38 - 45.13$	57.16	$50.41 - 49.91$
J(CP)	$31.7 - 30.2$	⁰	0 $-$ 0
$=$ C-OEt δ	155.70 - 155.93	$160.13 - 159.77$	160.53
J(CP)	$57.9 - 58.4$	$57.7 - 56.9$	51.7
$=$ CH δ	107.10 - 105.94	$109.52 - 107.83$	$110.54 - 109.18$
J(CP)	$4.5 - 7.0$	$14.4 - 18.2$	$13.9 - 17.3$
$\delta^{31}P$	$57.38 - 61.14$	$49.25 - 46.16$	$33.74 - 29.68$
	(major) (minor)	(minor) (maior)	(major) (minor)

TABLE 1 Comparative 13C and 31P **NMR data for complexes 1, 3, and 4a**

xylene. After evaporation, the crude residue was chromatographed with pentane-CH₂Cl₂ 7 : 3. Yield of **4,** 170 mg (50%). Results by 'H NMR (CDC13), *6* 1.41 (2t, 3H, Me), 3.97 (m, 2H, OCH*), 5.55-5.85 (m, 2H, CHPh $+ =$ CH), 7.0–7.76 (m, 10H, Ph); by IR (CH2C12), *y* (CO) 2075, 1945 cm-'; by MS *(80Se,* **184W),** *mlz* 672 (M+, 13%), 532 (M-5C0, 100%).

solvent, CDCI₃.

References

- [l] Y. Kashman, 0. Awerbouch, Tetrahedron, 31, 1975, 45.
- [2] L.D. Quin, J.C. Kisalus, K.A. Mesch, J. Org. Chem., 48, 1983,4466; L.D. Quin, B.G. Marsi, J. Am. Chem. SOC., 107, 1985, 3389; L.D. Quin, J. Szewczyk, K.M. Szewczyk, A.T. McPhail, J. Org. Chem., 51, 1986, 3341.
- [3] N.H. Tran Huy, L. Ricard, F. Mathey, Organometallics, 7, 1988, 1791.
- [4] N.H. Tran Huy, F. Mathey, Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 1988, 3077.
- [5] K. Moedritzer, R.E. Miller, J. Org. Chem., 47, 1982, 1530.
- [6] B.A. Boyd, R.J. Thoma, R.H. Neilson, Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 1987, 6121.